# **EXECUTIVE BOARD - 22 JULY 2014**

Subject:	Highfields Park: Heritage Lottery Fund, Parks For People Restoration and Improvement Project: Stage Two Application			
		90o		
Corporate	John Kelly - Corporate Director of Communities			
Director(s)/	Hugh White - Director of Sport Culture and Parks			
Director(s): Portfolio Holder(s):	Cllr David Trimble Portfolio	o Holder for Leisure ar	nd Culture	
Report author and	Eddie Curry – Head of Pa		ia Canaic	
contact details:	Email:- eddie.curry@nottir		0115 8764982	
Key Decision	⊠Yes □ No	Subject to call-in		
	liture 🛛 Income 🖂 Savings		□ Revenue    □ Capita	al
	of the overall impact of the communities living or working			
wards in the City	_	ng in two or more		
Total value of the de			'41 B 46 I'	
Wards affected: Wol	laton East & Lenton unkirk & Lenton, Radford	Date of consultation Holder(s): 27 <sup>th</sup> June		
& Park.	unklik & Lenton, Nadioid	Holder(s). 27 June	2014	
Relevant Council Pla	an Strategic Priority:			
Cutting unemploymer	<u> </u>			
Cut crime and anti-so	cial behaviour			
	eavers get a job, training or	further education than	any other City	
	as clean as the City Centre			
Help keep your energy bills down  Good access to public transport				
Nottingham has a goo				
	place to do business, inves	st and create iobs		
	vide range of leisure activitie		events	
Support early interver				
	e for money services to our			
_	(including benefits to citiz	-	" Doonlo Fund (LIDLDD	ירי
	a Stage One Heritage and 3, the Project Team have	•	•	,
	consultation / consensus c			
as the University of Nottingham, the Environment Agency ("the EA") and the Highfields Park User				
Group.				
This report seeks final sign off and approval of the Highfields Park Landscape Restoration				
Project including its Maintenance and Management Plan and seeks the committee's approval				
and endorsement to submit a detailed Stage Two project application to the HBLPPF in August				
2014.				
Exempt information:				
None				
Recommendation(s):				
1				
That Executive Board approves the Highfields Park Landscape Restoration Project including the				
Maintenance and Management Plan and the Stage Two HBLPPF bid as detailed in sections 2.2				

- 2.10 of this report.
- 2

That Executive Board delegates authority to the Director of Sport, Culture and Parks to continue the preparation and submission of the Stage Two HBLPPF bid in August 2014 on behalf of the Highfields Leisure Trust.

That, subject to the receipt of a Stage Two pass from the HBLPPF, the Executive Board approves the delegation of authority to the Director of Sport, Culture and Parks to continue to develop working designs and to go out to tender and thereafter, subject to such tenders being within the available financial envelope as indicated in section 4, to enter into contracts relating to the delivery of the restoration works.

4

Amend the capital programme to reflect the expenditure detailed in Section 2.10 below.

5

Approve the revenue contributions to capital detailed in Section 4 below.

#### 1 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

1.1 The resolutions are being sought in order to ensure that the restoration project can continue with full support of the Council and to ensure that all the appropriate governance and delegated authorities are approved before the Stage Two bid is submitted to the Lottery in August this year.

# 2 BACKGROUND (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF CONSULTATION)

- 2.1 Highfields Park was laid out in the early 1920s as a public park on the initiative of Sir Jesse Boot, it opened partly in 1923 and the public was given its full use in 1926. The ownership was transferred to the City Council in 1923. It is one of the first man-made, large-scale public parks of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. By virtue of its value Highfields Park was included in English Heritage's Register of Parks and Gardens of Special Historic Interest and was given a Grade II listing (Ref GD 2771). Recognising the importance of this historic urban park, English Heritage has recently uplifted its status to Grade II\*.
- 2.2 In the last two years a number of reports (as detailed in section 10) have already recognised the need and provided justification for this greatly needed restoration project. In July 2013 our first round project application received a Stage One pass from the HBLPPF. Included with this pass was a development grant of over £280,000 which represented 93% of the total £300,542 development costs. This funding represented a pivotal turning point in the park's restoration journey and as a result the funding has been used to assemble a project team, commission detailed architectural and landscape design works, and to drive forward more detailed condition and topographical surveys including the lake restoration, tree works and the restoration of listed / historic buildings and features within the park. Included within the development funding was an allocation for a new dedicated Park Ranger. This new post was successfully filled in January 2014 and since this time the new Ranger has started to work with the project partners and has already significantly

improved the day to day engagement with park user and project partners.

- **2.3** As part of our stage one pass the HBLPPF placed a number of special conditions on the project as follows
  - The stage two bid needs to provide a sustainable solution to the lake and Tottle Brook silting problem.
  - NCC to fully engage the Environment Agency (EA) to seek their full support for the lake / Tottle Brook silt proposals.
  - To investigate opportunities to develop and support a social enterprise within the park.
  - NCC to secure the commitment from Nottingham University to deliver their annual events programme funding contributions to the project.

The project team and our partners have managed to fully resolve all these special conditions and have identified a sustainable method of de-silting the Lake. The EA have been active project board members since day one. We have already identified and have trialled a social enterprise partnership with the Colwick Park Lifeguards to manage the boating concession and possibly the most significant change has been that the University of Nottingham are no longer just a partner, but will be co-signatories of our Stage Two bid. This is major step forward and now recognises the University's full support for the project. As a result we are now in a confident position and ready to drive forward with our final preparation of the bid ready to submit later this summer.

2.4 The details in this report have been presented to and approved by the Trust and Charities Committee on the 30th May 2014.

# 2.5 <u>Heritage and Big Lottery Parks for People Fund (HBLPPF)</u> Restoration Project

The project consists of a comprehensive programme of restoration works designed to fully restore the park, build upon its heritage and create a range of community facilities fit for the 21<sup>st</sup> century. The Stage Two bid includes key features that closely align with the strategic priorities of the HBLPPF - **Appendix 1 includes plans of the key restoration projects and the revised master plan.** 

## 2.6 Public Consultation and Project Consensus Checks

During the 2014 Easter holidays, an extensive consultation exercise was undertaken at Highfields Park and online to ensure that the park restoration proposals meet people's expectations following the earlier consultation in 2012. A static display was present on the park during the Easter holidays and colleagues were present for several hours each day to explain the proposals to park users and encourage them to complete questionnaires. 150 questionnaires were completed in person and 70 more received online; at the current time, the results of this feedback is being evaluated and this will form a consensus check report and also feed heavily into the Activity Plan – one of the key questions in the consultation was about the sort of events and activities people would like to see on the park.

#### 2.7 10 Year Maintenance and Management Plan (MMP).

Apart from the Heritage Restoration Plans the 10 year Maintenance and Management Plan is the key document within the Stage Two Submission. The document explains how we will refocus the site's future maintenance and management in line with both the Green Flag and Green Heritage standards and most importantly how the site will be funded post construction. The MMP will also discuss how the site will be maintained to a consistent standard on both the Trust land and land directly managed by the University. **Appendix 2 provides the most recent version of the MMP.** 

## 2.8 Activity Plan

The Activity Plan is one of the suite of documents that will be submitted to the Lottery alongside the restoration plans. It will assess who the current park users are, why they use it and what they do at the park. More importantly, we will investigate who doesn't use the park already, why not and what can be done to attract new audiences to the park. The action plan will include an ambitious list of potential events, activities and audience engagement techniques for the park, from which a select list will be funded by the Lottery bid and led by the Park Ranger, in partnership with the University of Nottingham and the Lakeside gallery and theatre.

#### 2.9 Training and Volunteer Plan

The Highfields Park project, will through a partnership with the Nottingham City Council Employment Hub and the LEP, generate opportunities for local small and large businesses, as well as individual employment opportunities during the construction stages, a range of new vacancies, apprenticeship, entrance trainee opportunities and work experience placements will be created. As part of the Stage Two bid a comprehensive Training Plan has been produced. The plan aims to deliver 20 work based placements / training opportunities for local people.

#### 2.10 Revised Restoration Project and Cost Plan

Cost of the Project	Cost £
Capital Costs	
Capital cost	£2,591,281
Surveys	£107,970
Contingency 5%	£130,064
Client Contingency 2%	£51,826
Prelims	£340,233
Fees	£323,156
Inflation	£78,320
Total Capital costs	£3,622,850
Revenue costs	
Activity costs	£384,000
Maintenance (5 years)	£25,350

New staff (5 years) £225,000

Total Revenue Costs	£634,350
I Otal Revenue Costs	£034,30

TOTAL OF SCHEME	£4,257,200

Item No	Project Includes
1	Lake Rehabilitation and management
2	Refurbishment and repair of existing historic building features on the site. Inc the pavilions, ticket office and historic lighting columns.
3	Boundaries and gateways - including restoration of fencing, entrance gates and wall work within the site.
4	Hard surfacing and resurfacing - estimated costs for the repair of existing footpaths to be kept and the construction of new footpaths and a new disabled and parent & child car parks.
5	Furniture/signage
6	Vegetation and arboriculture work.
7	Staff costs: Park Ranger x 10 years.
8	Ranger events programme
9	New Head Gardener

# 3 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS

Without full endorsement and approval the project will not be able to proceed to the Stage Two bid and the park restoration and improvement will not take place.

## 4 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (INCLUDING VALUE FOR MONEY/VAT)

The total cost of the proposed scheme is estimated to be £4,257,200 and the proposed funding sources are:

<u>Funding</u>	£
Capital Funding	
NCC Tree Service Budget	20,000
NCC Area Capital Budget	10,000
Croquet Club	10,000
WREN funding (tbc)	50,000
Veolia funding (tbc)	50,000
UoN Grounds Maintenance	25,000
Highfields Leisure Park Trust	400,000
Highfields Leisure Park Trust - additional (tbc)	50,000
Total Match Funding (Capital)	615,000
HLF grant request Capital	3,007,850
Total Capital Funding	3,622,850

Revenue Funding	
UoN Lakeside events funding	346,500
NCC funding for Head Gardener	125,000
Additional Income (over 5 years)	50,000
Probation Service (in kind)	8,000
Volunteer time (in kind)	21,000
Total Match Funding (Revenue)	550,500
HLF grant request revenue	83,850
Total Revenue Funding	634,350
Total Cost of Scheme	4,257,200
Total HLF contribution	3,091,700
Grant rate	72.62%

The City Council contribution of £125,000 will be funded from the existing Parks & Open Spaces revenue budget over a 5 year period. In addition a further £50,000 of additional income can be generated over the same period to off set some of the revenue costs.

After the initial 5 year period the City Council will continue to fund the additional posts and the increase in maintenance costs totalling £50,000 pa. This can be funded by the increase in income of £10,000 pa and £40,000 will be met from the existing Parks & Open Spaces budget.

WREN and the Veolia grants have yet to be confirmed. The Probation Service and Volunteer time totalling £29,000 is an in kind contribution and their costs are included in section 2.10.

The capital programme needs amending to reflect the additional capital costs of this scheme.

# 5 RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES (INCLUDING LEGAL IMPLICATIONS AND CRIME AND DISORDER ACT IMPLICATIONS)

#### 5.1 Legal Implications

The proposals set out in the report raise no significant legal issues but regard will need to be had the terms and conditions attached to the individual funding sources.

The tender process for the restoration works should specify the type of contract to be entered into and also set out any special clauses required by the Council. The Corporate Procurement team will be able to provide detailed advice on the tender process.

#### 5.2 Risk and Opportunity Management

The main risk at this point is if the Heritage Lottery Bid is not successful. In order to reduce this risk a realistic project proposal has been designed and all fees and charges accounted for. In addition £400,000 of the match funding has already been secured and the remaining funding bids are both realistic and achievable.

# 5.3 Performance Management

If the Stage Two submission is successful, workload planning will ensure that the preparation of the Stage Two bid is delivered by a cross departmental project team and therefore will not impact negatively on the rest of the Parks & Open Spaces Service.

# 5.4 Communications

There will be several key stages through the project to link to a communications plan:

- Ongoing public consultation on the project make-up and to demonstrate support and need for the project - following the Stage Two bid.
- Result of the Stage Two bid.
- Construction on site will include a detailed communication plan to cover all the key stages of the build phase and help to inform park users re localised closures during press the build phase e.g. the lake de-silting.

# 5.5 Crime and Disorder Act Implication

The project will help to improve the security of buildings and to improve the visual appearance of the park. In addition the increased site based staff presence and increased park user will all help to provide a friendly reassurance and remove any low level fear of crime and disorder.

#### **6 SOCIAL VALUE CONSIDERATIONS**

Social Value is central to the subject matter of this project. The proposals or use of the Lottery funding, if awarded, include:

- Encouraging healthy lifestyles and meeting community needs through aesthetic improvements, activities and events,
- Improvement of the local environment by resolving the lake silting problem
- Creating work placement and training opportunities for local people in the construction phase of the project.

These objectives will be integral considerations in any procurement processes and contracts awarded as a result of the funding.

#### 7 REGARD TO THE NHS CONSTITUTION

The project will help to improve the general facilities within the park, including paths and seating along with general aesthetic improvements. These improvements will encourage more people to participate in low level physical activity. The project with the support of the Park Ranger will target current non users and encourage people who are not currently active to participate in activities such as a walk around the park or a wide range of outdoor healthy lifestyle activities and events.

# 8 **EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA)**

Has the equality impact been assessed?

(a)	not needed (report does not contain proposals for new or
	changing policies, services or functions, financial decisions or

decisions about implementation of policies development outsi the Council)

(b)	No	
(c)	Yes – Equality Impact Assessment attached	X

Due regard should be given to the equality implications identified in any attached EIA.

An EIA has been updated and is attached in **Appendix 3.** 

This project has the potential to provide a number of opportunities to promote equality, for example, by making facilities more accessible; through diverse representation on the project steering group; by promoting the participation and involvement of service users and the wider community in the development of the park; and through the consideration of equality issues in the design and delivery stages of the project.

# 9 <u>LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS RELIED UPON IN WRITING THIS REPORT</u> (NOT INCLUDING PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS OR CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION)

None

# 10 PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN THIS REPORT

- 1. Highfields Park: Heritage Lottery Fund, Parks For People Restoration and Improvement Project: Stage Two Application. Trust and Charities Committee 30th May 2014.
- Highfields Park: Heritage and Big Lottery Fund, Parks For People Restoration and Improvement Project: Stage One Application. Executive Board 17th July 2012.
- 3. Highfields Park: Heritage and Big Lottery Fund, Parks For People Restoration and Improvement Project: Stage One Application. Trust and Charities Committee 1<sup>st</sup> June 2012.
- 4. Highfields Park: Trust Release of Dowry Funds Trust and Charities Committee report 24<sup>th</sup> February 2012.
- Highfields Park: Lottery Bid Expression of Interest and Delegated Authorities for Highfields Park and Harvey Hadden Stadium Trust and Charities Committee report 6<sup>th</sup> August 2011
- 6. Heritage Lottery Fund Parks for People introduction and help notes, 2010.
- 7. Highfields Park Master plan and Conservation Management Plan, FPCR, 2009.

**Appendix 1** contains plans of the key restoration projects and the revised master plan.

Appendix 2 contains the most recent version of the MMP.

# **Appendix 3** EIA

# 11 OTHER COLLEAGUES WHO HAVE PROVIDED INPUT

James Dymond Parks Development Manager

Email:- <u>James.dymond@nottinghamcity.gov.uk</u> Tel: - 0115 876983

Steve Ross, Finance Analyst

Email: Steve.Ross@nottinghamcity.gov.uk Tel: - 0115 8763738

Malcolm R Townroe, Solicitor and Legal Services Manager

Email: - Malcolm.Townroe@nottinghamcity.gov.uk Tel: 0115 8764332

Emma Orrock, Business Development Manager, Community Protection Email: - Emma.orrock6821@nottinghamshire.pnn.police.uk Tel: 0115

9670999. Mobile: 07930329882

Barbara Brady, Consultant in Public Health, Nottinghamshire County and City.

Email; - Barbara. Brady@nottscc.gov.uk Tel; 01623 433004

Imogeen Denton, Equality & Community Relations Lead.

Email: - imogeen.denton@nottinghamcity.gov.uk. Tel: 0115 8762346

Dawn Cafferty, Procurement Category Manager

Email: dawn.cafferty@nottinghamcity.gov.uk Tel: 0115 8765888

# Name and brief description of proposal / policy / service being assessed

Highfields Park: Heritage and Big Lottery Fund, Parks For People Restoration and Improvement Project: Stage Two Application.

# Information used to analyse the effects on equality

The effects of the project on equality will be considered further as part of the future monitoring arrangements put in place if the stage 2 bid should be successful. A parks users group already exists for the site and GreenSTAT and satisfaction data exists that could be used to inform future management decisions along with the implementation and monitoring of the projects audience development plan and volunteer and training plan.

The project has been developed recognising IMD data relating to wards surrounding the site which will be reflected in any future project deliver and also references

improved access opportunities with regard to the development of the tram network to service this area.

	Could particularly benefit (X)	May adversely impact (X)	How different groups could be affected: Summary of impacts	Details of actions to reduce negative or increase positive impact (or why action not possible)
People from different ethnic groups			If successful with the Stage Two bid, the development of the project to the next stage will draw on experience	Not required. If successful with the Stage Two bid full consideration will be given to
Men, women (including maternity/pregnancy impact), transgender people			gained from the successful HLF bid for the Forest Recreation Ground. There are a number of stakeholders, including community stakeholders, who will need to be consulted with throughout the planning and	the continued access and engagement with all users groups as part of the project's Activity Plan
Disabled people or carers	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$		implementation of the project.	New training and volunteer opportunities
People from different faith groups			The ongoing consultation with all users groups will be managed through the implementation of both a new	will be provided with the projects volunteer and training plan.
Lesbian, gay or bisexual people			access and audience development plan and new volunteer and training plans. The changes to the park will be fully communicated on regular basis as part of the	
Older or younger people	$\checkmark$		communications plan.	
Other (e.g. marriage/civil partnership, looked after children, cohesion/good relations, vulnerable children/adults)	V	at ac P ha ex bc	The restored Highfields Park will provide a welcoming, attractive, safe and secure, revitalised public park accessible to all. The Activity Plan and Communication Plan will be used to ensure that all communities and users have the opportunity to get involved in project and experience a wide range of activities and events that will be delivered by the new Park Ranger.  The project will provide new opportunities for local people to receive training and volunteer opportunities.	

Outcome(s) of equality impact assessment:					
No major change needed ☑ Adjust the policy ☐	Adverse impact but continue	Stop and remove the policy/proposal			
	Arrangements for future monitoring of equality impact of this proposal / policy / service:				
This EIA will be reviewed following the successful Stage Two bid and as part of annual review of the Activity Plan and Volunteer and Training Plan. (by March 2015)					
(by February 2012)					
Approved by (manager signature): Eddie Curry. Head of Par	ks & Open	Date sent to equality team for publishing: 24-04-14			
Spaces					